

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		and the second s	· -	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/840,815	04/24/2001	Cornelis Oene Cirkel	NL 000229	5849
_	90 05/14/2004 ELLECTIAL DRODE		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3001		RTY & STANDARDS	NGUYEN, VINH P	
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	•
	•		DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Ta-III				
	Advisory Action	09/840,815	Applicant(s)				
	Advisory Action	Examiner	CIRKEL ET AL				
		VINH P NGUYEN	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover shoot with the	2829				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
	a) I The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final min.						
	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate the date for purpose in the contraction.						
	(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
	37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal						
	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
	(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
1	(b) In they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below).						
	(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
	5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
€	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed:						
•	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected:						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☑ The drawing correction filed on <u>04 May 2004</u> is a) ☐ approved or b) ☑ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9.	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10	10. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet						
			1/1/2				
			INH P NGUYEN rimary Examiner				
	atent and Trademark Office L-303 (Rev. 11-03)	A	rt Unit: 2829				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the specification does not have support for the limitation of "a power supply " and "wherein the power supply is coupled to said one pin" as recited in claim 7. Examiner disagrees with Applicants that original canceled claim 4 has support for the limitation of claim 7. Furthermore, applicants fail to point out exactly where in the original specification/disclose has support for questionable limitation in claim 7. Furthermore, the proposed drawing correction for figure 1 filed on 05/04/04 is not approved by Examiner for the same reason of rejection of claim 7.

Continuation of 10. Other: After reviewing Applicants' remark about claim 6, Examiner agrees to withdraw the rejection of claim 6 under 35 USC 102(b). Currently, claims 5-6 would be allowable if submitted in a separate timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim 7. However, the rejection of claim 7 under 112,ist paragraph is still valid.